## 128. Photochemistry of Isothiocoumarin (= 1H-[2]Benzothiopyran-1-one)

by John Bethke, Jürgen Kopf<sup>1</sup>), and Paul Margaretha\*

Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Hamburg, M. L. King Platz 6, D-20146 Hamburg

and Bernard Pignon, Léon Dupont<sup>2</sup>), and Léon E. Christiaens

Institut de Chimie Organique B6, Université de Liège, Sart-Tilman, B-4000 Liège

(9. V. 97)

Irradiation ( $\lambda = 350$  nm) of 1*H*-[2]benzothiopyran-1-one (2) in the solid state affords selectively and in good yield  $6\alpha \alpha, 6b\alpha, 12b\alpha, 12c\alpha$ -tetrahydrocyclobuta[1,2-c:4,3-c']bis([2]benzothiopyran)-5,8-dione (3), the head-to-head (*HH*) cis-cisoid-cis-cyclodimer of 2. X-Ray analysis of 2 confirms that this reaction proceeds according to the well-established topochemical principles. The same dimer 3 is obtained in low yields on irradiation of  $10^{-1}$  M solutions of 2 in either MeOH or MeCN, while no conversion at all is observed in benzene. On irradiation of 2 in MeCN in the presence of tetrachloroethene, the [2+2] photocycloadduct 4 is formed in good yield, the conversion  $2 \rightarrow 4$  being efficiently quenched by naphthalene. In contrast, no reaction is observed on irradiation of 2 in the presence of 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene, neither in polar nor in apolar solvents.

**Introduction.** – Within the scope of our investigations on the photochemistry of (S-hetero)cyclic unsaturated carbonyl compounds [1], we had found that 2H-[1]benzo-thiopyran-2-one (1) undergoes photocyclodimerization efficiently, in solution [2] as well as in the solid state [3], and that both 1 itself and pyrano-, thiopyrano- and furo-fused thiocoumarins are converted quantitatively to mixtures of *cis*- and *trans*-fused [2+2] cycloadducts on irradiation in the presence of an excess of 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene [4] [5].

In contrast to thiocoumarins, research on the isomeric isothiocoumarins and their derivatives has been almost totally neglected [6]. Here, we report results on the photochemical behavior of the parent compound 1H-[2]benzothiopyran-1-one (2).

**Results.** – On irradiation ( $\lambda = 350$  nm) of  $10^{-1}$  M solutions of 2 in different solvents (benzene, i-PrOH, MeCN, or MeOH), only very low degrees of conversion to a new product 3 are achieved, the highest yields of 3 (*Scheme*), as determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis, being 17% in MeOH.

However, solid-state irradiation of 2 affords the same product 3 efficiently and in nearly quantitative yield. The assignment of the *HH-cis-cisoid-cis*-photocyclodimer structure to 3 stems from both X-ray analysis and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy (*Fig.*). To verify whether this reaction proceeds according to the well-established topochemical rules for bimolecular photoreactions in crystals [7], an X-ray analysis of 2 was also performed. Indeed, the distance between two (coplanar) molecules of 2 was found to be 4.036 Å.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Institut für Anorganische und Angewandte Chemie, Universität Hamburg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Service de Cristallographie, Institut de Physique B5, Université de Liège.



Fig. 1. X-Ray structures of compounds 2 and 3

On irradiation of 2 in solution in the presence of 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene, no product formation is observed, neither in MeCN nor in benzene as solvents. In contrast, irradiation of 2 in MeCN containing an excess of tetrachloroethene leads to the selective formation of the *cis*-fused [2+2] photocycloadduct 4, obtained in 45% yield after separation and purification by chromatography. To assign the multiplicity of excited 2 in this reaction, a *Stern-Volmer* experiment was run. Indeed, the conversion  $2 \rightarrow 4$  is efficiently diminished by adding increasing amounts of naphthalene as a (triplet) quencher. The resulting slope has a value of  $k_q \cdot \tau \approx 90$  l/mol. Both 1 and 2 were irradiated in MeCN in the presence of tetrachloroethene in a merry-go-round setup in order to determine the relative rates of formation of the corresponding [2+2] cycloadducts 5 and 4, respectively (*Scheme*). Thiocoumarin (1) reacts *ca*. five times faster than its isomer 2.

Finally, in an additional experiment 1 was irradiated in MeCN in the presence of either tetrachloroethane or 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene. The formation of [2+2] cyclo-adducts [1] with this latter alkene proceeds *ca.* 40 times faster than with tetra-chloroethene.

**Discussion.** – Regarding the solid-state photodimerization experiment, the behavior of **2** parallels that of **1**, *i.e.*, the corresponding *HH-cis-cisoid-cis*-photocyclodimer is formed selectively. In such topochemical reactions, the proximity and degree of parallelism of the reacting centers are crucial for the dimerization, a maximum value of 4.2 Å for the separation of the two reactive double bonds having been proposed [8]. The experimental value obtained for **2** (4.036 Å) fits nicely to this rule, while for **1** no X-ray analysis has been reported so far.

On irradiation in solution, however, the two isomers 1 and 2 differ remarkably. The behavior of 1 is very similar to that of simple cyclic  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones, e.g., cyclohex-2-enone or cyclopent-2-enone, as it affords the HH-cis-transoid-cis-photocyclodimer preferentially, and it reacts so much more efficiently with alkenes the lower their ionization potential. The fact that 2 affords the same photocyclodimer 3 on irradiation in solution – albeit in very low yield – as in the solid suggests the formation of a pre-aligned complex, most probably an excimer, which results from  $\pi$  interaction between the aromatic rings of an excited- and a ground-state molecule of 2. The difference in reactivity between (triplet) excited 2 vs. tetrachloroethene on the one hand and 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene on the other is probably due to the higher polarizability of the former, as the two alkenes have almost identical triplet energies ( $E_T \approx 330$  kJ) and differ in their ionization potential by less than 1 eV (tetrachloroethene:  $I_{\rm p} \approx 9.1$  eV, 2,3dimethylbut-2-ene:  $I_p \approx 8.3 \text{ eV}$  [9]. All this suggests that the C-atoms of the C=C bond in (triplet) excited 2 -or at least one of them – have a much higher electron density than those in 1. The minimum lifetime of triplet excited 2 in MeCN corresponds to  $\tau \approx 3-4$  ns, assuming a value of  $k_a = 2.9 \cdot 10^{10} \, \text{l/mol} \cdot \text{s}$  [9].

Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, and the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (F.N.R.S.) is gratefully acknowledged.

## **Experimental Part**

General. The benzothiopyranones 1 [10] and 2 [11] were synthesized according to the literature procedures. Photolyses: Rayonet RPR-100 photoreactor equipped with 350-nm lamps. GC: 30-m SE 30 capillary column. <sup>1</sup>Hand <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Spectra: at 400 and 100.6 MHz, resp.; chemical shifts in ppm rel. to TMS (= 0 ppm), coupling constants J in Hz. X-Ray analyses: Stoe-Siemens AED four circle diffractometer at 293 K (for 2), and Enraf-Nonius-CAD-4 four circle diffractometer at 173 K (for 3), both with CuK<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å).

X-Ray Analysis of 2. Prismatic, pale-yellow transparent blocks,  $0.61 \times 0.46 \times 0.15$  mm, were obtained by recrystallization from pentane. M.p. 76°. Crystal data: C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>OS,  $M_r = 162.2$ , orthorhombic, space groups  $P2_12_12_1$ , a = 4.036(4), b = 11.806(14), c = 15.695(17) Å, V = 747.8(1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.441$  g · cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 336,  $\mu = 3.26$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. The cell parameters were determined by least-squares refinement against the setting angles of 32 reflections,  $\Phi = 30.2 - 31.4^\circ$ . Of the 681 independent reflections ( $\Phi_{max} = 60.4^\circ$ ), 616 were considered to be observed [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]. Final R value for all reflections R = 0.053 ( $\omega R2 = 0.1430$ ). Flack parameter =  $0.02(7)^3$ ).

Solid-State Irradiation of **2**. Solns. of 10 mg (0.062 mmol) of **2** in 1 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O in a 5-ml tapered flask are slowly evaporated to produce a homogeneous solid film. Four such flasks are then purged with Ar and irradiated for 7h. Chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) affords 32 mg (83%) of  $6a\alpha, 6b\alpha, 12b\alpha 12c\alpha$ -tetrahydrocyclobuta[1,2-c: 4,3-c']bis([2]benzothiopyran)-5,8-dione (3). M.p. 214°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)acetone): 7.77 (d, J = 8.0); 7.33 (d, J = 7.5); 7.26 (t, J = 7.5); 6.93 (d, J = 8.0); 5.09, 4.82 (AA'XX', J<sub>AA'</sub> = 8.5, J<sub>AX</sub> = 8.3, J<sub>AX'</sub> = 1.5, J<sub>XX'</sub> = -5.5). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)acetone): 188.3 (s); 138.9 (s); 133.7 (d); 131.8 (s); 128.1 (d); 126.6 (d); 46.9 (d); 43.0 (d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) Crystallographic data were deposited with the *Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center*, University Chemical Laboratory, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, England.

X-Ray Analysis of 3. Transparent blocks,  $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3$  mm, were obtained by recrystallization from acetone. M.p. 214°. Crystal data: C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>,  $M_r = 324.4$ , monoclinic, space group  $P_{2_1}$ , a = 7.640(1), b = 11.931(1), c = 8.544(1) Å,  $\beta = 114.86(1)$ , V = 706.6(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_x = 1.525$  g · cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 336,  $\mu = 3.446$  mm<sup>-1</sup>. The cell parameters were determined by least-squares refinement against the setting angles of 25 reflections,  $\Phi = 41.3 - 44.5^{\circ}$ . Of the 1555 independent reflections ( $\Phi_{max} = 76.5^{\circ}$ ), 1546 were considered to be observed [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]. Final R value for all reflections R = 0.04 ( $\omega R2 = 0.1083$ ). Flack parameter =  $0.02(3)^3$ ).

*Photolysis of* **2** *in Soln.* Ar-degassed solns. of 10 mg (0.062 mmol) of **2** in 0.6 ml of MeOH, MeCN, i-PrOH, or benzene are irradiated for 50-60 h. After evaporation of the solvent, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR indicates the formation of minor amounts of dimer **3**. The relative ratios **2**/3 are 4.8:1 (MeOH), 12.5:1 (MeCN), 20:1 (i-PrOH), and > 20:1 (benzene).

Irradiation of 2 and 2,3-Dimethylbut-2-ene. Ar-degassed solns. of 10 mg (0.062 mmol) of 2 and 105 mg (1.24 mmol) of alkene in 2 ml of MeCN or benzene are irradiated for 24 h. After evaporation of the solvent, 2 is recovered quantitatively.

Irradiation of 2 and Tetrachloroethene. An Ar-degassed soln. of 48.6 mg (0.3 mmol) of 2 and 1.0 g (6 mmol) of tetrachlorethene in 5 ml MeCN is irradiated for 30 h. After evaporation of the solvent chromatography  $(SiO_2/CHCl_3)$  affords 44 mg (45%) 2ax,8bx-1,1,2,2-tetrachloro-2,2a-dihydro-1H-cyclobuta[c][2]benzothiopyran-4-one (4). M.p. 156-158°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl\_3): 8.08 (d, J = 8.0); 7.59 (t, J = 7.5); 7.47 (t, J = 7.5); 7.31 (d, J = 8.0); 4.90, 4.72 (AB, J = 10.2). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl\_3): 185.3 (s); 132.9 (d); 131.9 (s); 129.7 (d); 128.8 (s); 128.5 (d); 126.1 (d); 93.6 (s); 52.6 (d); 490. (d).

Quenching Experiment. To four Ar-degassed solns., each containing 2.3 mg (0.014 mmol) of 2 and 46 mg (0.28 mmol) of tetrachloroethene in 1 ml of MeCN, is added, respectively, 0 mg, 1.8 mg (0.014 mmol), 3.6 mg (0.028 mmol), and 7.2 mg (0.056 mmol) of naphthalene. The four solns. are then irradiated in a merry-go-round setup for 2 h. The slope of the straight-line resulting in the  $\Phi_0/\Phi$  vs. [naphthalene] diagram corresponds to 90 l/mol.

Irradiation of 1 and Tetrachloroethene. An Ar-degassed soln. of 48.6 mg (0.3 mmol) of 1 and 1.0 g (6 mmol) of alkene in 5 ml of MeCN is irradiated for 8 h. After evaporation of the solvent, chromatography  $(SiO_2/CH_2Cl_2)$  affords 27 mg (41%) of  $2a\alpha,8b\alpha-1,1,2,2$ -tetrachloro-2,2a-dihydro-3H-cyclobuta[c][1]benzothiopyran-3-one (5) as light yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.43-7.28 (m, 3 H); 7.14 (d, J = 7.6); 4.88, 4.05 (AB, J = 10.2).

Comparative Irradiations of 1 and 2 in the Presence of Alkenes. Two Ar-degassed solns., containing 46 mg (0.28 mmol) tetrachloroethene and 2.3 mg (0.014 mmol) of either 1 or 2 in 1 ml of MeCN, are irradiated in a merry-go-round setup for 4 h, and the formation of 5 (from 1) and 4 (from 2) is monitored by GC. The former reaction proceeds five times faster. Similarly, two Ar-degassed solns., containing 2.3 mg (0.014 mmol) of 1 and either 46 mg (0.28 mmol) of tetrachloroethene or 24 mg (0.28 mmol) of 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene in 1 ml of MeCN, are irradiated for 45 min, the formation of the tetramethylethene cycloadducts proceeding 40 times faster than that of 5.

## REFERENCES

- P. Margaretha, in 'Molecular and Supramolecular Photochemistry', Eds. V. Ramamurthy, K. Schanze, M. Dekker, New York, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 85.
- [2] C. Karbe, P. Margaretha, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 1991, 57, 231.
- [3] C. P. Klaus, C. Thiemann, J. Kopf, P. Margaretha, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 1079.
- [4] C. P. Klaus, P. Maragaretha, Liebigs Ann. 1996, 291.
- [5] J. Bethke, A. Jakobs, P. Margaretha, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 1997, 104, 83.
- [6] A. H. Ingall, in 'Comprehensive Heterocylic Chemistry II', Eds. A. R. Katritzky, C. W. Rees, E. V. Scriven, and A. McKillop, Pergamon, Oxford, 1996, Vol. 5, p. 501.
- [7] K. Venkatesan, V. Ramamurthy, in 'Photochemistry in Organized and Constrained Media', Ed. V. Ramamurthy, VCH Publishers, New York, 1991, p. 133.
- [8] M. D. Cohen, G. M. J. Schmidt, J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1996.
- [9] S. L. Murov, I. Carmichael, G. L. Hug, in 'Handbook of Photochemistry', M. Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [10] O. Meth-Cohn, B. Tarnowski, Synthesis 1978, 56.
- [11] H. Duddeck, M. Kaiser, Spectrochim. Acta 1985, 41 A, 913.